

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/562,101	03/13/2007	Sacha Romier	DE03 0228 US1	8087	
65913 NXP, B,V,	7590 06/25/201	08	EXAMINER		
NXP INTELI	LECTUAL PROPERTY	HUYNH,	HUYNH, PHUONG		
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95131			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2857		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/25/2008	FLECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/562,101		ROMIER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	PHUONG HUYNH	2857		

	PHUONG HUYNH	2857	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained unterf 37 CPR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext and of the control of the	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the state of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the state of the proposed amendment (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains the proposed amendment (a) They are the proposed amendment (a) They are the proposed amendment (b) They are the proposed amendment (a) They are the proposed amendment (b) They are the proposed amendment (b) They are the proposed amendment (c) They are the prop</li></ol>			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		ducina or simplifyina ti	ne issues for
appeal; and/or	to rominor appear by materially rot	adding or ouriping in	10 100000 101
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	it or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
(Eliano Danna Ediniana)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2857

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has amended mainly independent claims 1 and 4; however, the propsed amendments raise new issues that would require further search and consideration such as new limitations added to claim 1, "for calculating.....and wherein the first and second temperature points are different from each other," and in claim 4, "wherein the first actual temperature and the second virtual temperature are different from each other; and calibrating a temperature setting curve of the semiconductor chip using the first actual temperature and the second virtual temperature." Accordingly, the new limitations to propsed amended claims have never been claimed before, and change the scope of the rejected claims. Therefore, they raise new issues that would require further search and consideration and hence will not be entered.